[Shri S. Viduthalai Virumbi]

dhra, Karnatakar and Trail Nadu to that otrice, they are not getting any respect in the UGC office. Furthermore, is there no South Indian member in the University Grants Commission Apart from this, what I wanted 'to emphasise is that since the University Grants Commission is situated only in Delhi one has to travel thousands of miles to get some bits of money. Therefore, I request through you, that a regional office of the University Grants Commission be established at Madras in Tamil Nadu so that the South Indian people can, get their grievances redressed at less expense.

This will take only on© or two days. We feel that now five to ten per cent of the grant is spent in getting reimbursement. This kind of harassment should be avoided. Therefore, I once again emphasise that a regional office of the University Grants Commission should be established in one of the South Indian States, particularly in Tamil Nadu. With these words I conclude. Thank you.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, I am allowing Mr. Antony to move his resolution. Let me complete the other business because the Minister is here ... *(Interruptions)* ... I will allow you. Let ma get it moved by the Minister and then you can speak on the railways. Mr. Sivaji, I will allow you also. Let me finish this legislative business. There is a Bill for introduction, the Consumer Protection (Amendment) Bill, 1993. Mr. Antony to move the Bill.

THE CONSUMER PROTECTION (AMENDMENT) BILL,, 1993

THE MINISTER OF CIVIL SUP PLIES, CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION (SHRI A. K. ANTONY): Madam, I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Consumer Pro tection Act, 1986.

v —Introduced

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

SHRI A. K. ANTONY: Madam, I introduce the Bill.

THE SAARC CONVENTION (SUV PRESSION OF TERRORISM) BILL, 1992

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now we have another business. Mr. Bhatia is here with us. Let me finish with this, the Bill returned by the Lok Sabha with amendments. Mr. Bhatia has to move the amendments made by Lok Sabha in the SAARC Convention (Suppression of Terrorism) Bill, 1993.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI R. L. BHATIA): Madam, the SAARC Convention (Suppression of Terrorism) Bill, 1992 was passed by this hon. House on 26th November, 1992. The Bill has also been passed by the Lok Sabha on 30th March, 1993. While passing the Bill, Lok Sabha made some {amendments to the Enacting Formula and the Short Title which were necessitated by the change in the calendar year and the year of the Republic. I now formally request this hon. House to consider and pass the amendments made by the Lok Sabhia.

I beg to move:

"That the following amendments made by the Lok Sabha in the SAARC Conventon (Suppression of Terrorism) Bill, 1993, be taken into consideration, namely: —

Enacting Formula

1. Page 1, line 7,—
for 'Forty-third substitute 'Forty-fourth'

Clause 1

2. Page 1, line 10—
for '1992' substitute '1993' ". *The question was put and the motion* twos *adopted.*

SHRI a. L. BHATIA: Madam I. move:

"That the amendments made by the Lok Sabha in the Bill be agreed to."

The Questions *was Proposed.*

SHRI INDER KUMAR GUJRAL (Bihar) : Madam, this is a very (formal Bill and 1 don't oppose it. But 1 only want to draw your attention to one thing, |aiter what lias happened in Bombay and after the new dimensions to terrorism even within the SAARC countries.

We have already passed a Bill about the SAARC Convention. I would like to ask the Minister if it is possible to take any steps under this Convention in the case of the 'fugitives who have fled away to Pakistan. Has the the Government taken any steps in this direction?

SHRI R. L. BHATIA: In this direction, 1 would like to say that by passing this Bill a kind of pressure is there on Pakistan. As there is no extradition treaty between the two countries, by passing this Bill all the SAARC countries will be able to put a kind of pressure on Pakistan.

SHRI INDER KUMAR GUJRAL: My question is very different. The Convention has been approved by all the SAARC countries. All the SAARC countries including us have endorsed this Convention. I am asking a specific question. After the fugitives have fled, has the Government taken any steps to draw the attention of all the SAARC countries to this in the context of having approved this Convention? This is what is happening.

SHRI R. L. BHATIA' This thing is likely 1o be brought to their notice. As, you know, there is going to be a SAARC Conference on 11th and 12th at Dhaka. This matter will be taken up... *{Interruptions)*

SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM (Andhra Pradesh): Why should the Government wait until the SAARC Conference?

SHRI INDER KUMAR GUJRAL: Has it been dona?

SHRI R. L. BHATIA: So far as Pakistan is concerned, we have already asked them to hand over the persons to us and the Nawaz Sharif's statement is there. So, now, we are having... *(Interruptions)*

SHRI INDER KUMAR GUJRAL: I am really surprised that the Minister is not directly replying to what I am asking. The Convention has been passed by all the SAARC countries. The Convention has been endorsed by all the SAARC countries. We are faced with a very serious situation. I am asking a question the reply to which can be 'yes' or 'no'. Has the Minister already brought to the notice of all the SAARC countries that this has happened? Has he done it or has he not done it?

SHRI R. L. BHATIA: Enquiries are being made now to And out as to where the culprits are and we are approaching the Government in this regard. Only after all these enquiries are made, we will approach the SAARC countries... *(Interruptions)*

SHRI INDER KUMAR GUJRAL: I feel that you have not done it as yet. Say that you have not done it. And I think it is a serious dereliction of duty.

PROF. SAURIN BHATTACHARYA (West Bengal): Madam, substantially, my point is the same as Mr. Gujual's. But, in a way, I will put it differently rather; that is, what the Member-countries of the SAARC are expected to do according to this Convention against terrorism in the face of the

circumstances thrown up by the Bom-Day explosions. So, mistry, I want to know sbecially as to what they are expentea to Secortary, as for what I coura gather from the Press reports, the pakitistan High Commissioner in india gave it out, point-biaiiK that Pakistan has no connection with harbouring the Memons, that they were not in Pakistan and that they have no' responsibility in the matter, where as the Prime Minister of. Pakistan gave a diferent version in the sense that what he said was, he had asked the investigative agencies to find out whether the Mentions had come to Pakistan. Today, there is a news-item in the name of a Secretary who says Memons are in Karachi." So, taking all these aspects into account, will the Minister kindly inform us whether we will accept that so far as this Convention, the Anti- Terrorist Convention of the SAARC countries is concerned, it is a meaningless shibboleth or it stands the test of time, the time as signified by the explosions in Bombay?

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA (West Bengal); Madam, the other day, you were present in the House when Mr. Chavan made a statement. There was an elaborate statement and the main focal point in the statement was that the Memons family had, gone to Pakistan. That was the central point and he even mentioned the flight number. Therefore, the formal .position of the Government of India was that the people who were considered to be the conspirators, .were In Pakistan. That was the "statement and the position taken by the hon. Minister of Home, Mr. Chavan; Now, within a span of less than a Week, the hon ,Minister of State for Exeternal. Affairs makes a statement in the House saying that we? do not know if they are in Pakis- - tan. If there, are, the public positions being taken, by the: , Ministers who are representing a coallective Government, if this discrepancy is there in the position of the Government on India, then the credibility of the Government is eroded totally. And, secondly, we are nut being able to build up suficient pressure on the Pakistan Government to nana them over to us. Therefore , the Monster must take care of, mast note, must remember,' what" His senior colleague was saying with regard to this point here in this House only a few days back.

SHRI. It. L. BHATIA: I never said it to be Pakistan. I have never said that. What I said was, enquiries are going on and let us wait for the time when the Government alter .making enquiry, can make a statement in the House... (Interruptions)...

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: I strongly object to this. The Minister is taking a qualified position in the background.: of an. unambiguous statement made by Mr. Chavan in the. House.. .

SHRI INDE,R KUMIAR GUJKAL: There is a very serious situation. The Chief Minister .of Bombay, not once, not twice but three times, is on record as having said that the fugitives have left for Pakistan. The Home Minister of India had made the same statement and the media writes about it every day. We have-also lodged a protest. I think there is a serious shift in their stand now when the hon. Minister says that he is still making, enquiries That, is expetly what Pakisian;js alleging- Pakistan says "you are making allegation. against us before making firm enquiries." Now,may I know whether Mr. Shared Pawar is correet, Mr. Home Minister is .correct or Mr. Pilot is correct or the hon. Minister of State is correct? What is the exact position about (1) the Memon family leaving Bombay, (2): their going to U.A.E. and (3) their going by a Pakistan International -, Airlines flight to Pakistan? Are these facts proven or not proven ? If the are proven, what steps lias the Government of

iiiy step I would like to know "why i they nave not taken any steps.

My second question i;*, since we have signed this Convention the initial steps should have been taken immediately to bring to the notice of ail the SAARC Menioers th|ac this] is what is happening and the Convention is being flouted... *(InterruiJ- \ tions),...*

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; I would suggest that the- Members 'who want to speak, let them speak Mr. Vishv-jit P. Singh, Mr. Siukornal Sen and Mr. Obaidulla Khan want to speak and after that you can finally reply to them.

SHRI SUKOMAL SEN (West Bengal) : It is a total confusion. What Mr. Gujral has mentioned is not about the Home Minister but is about the senior ..Minister ox Exteranl Affairs. That day,. he made a statement, E wrong statement, saying that the Memon family has fled from Dubai to Pakistan on 17th March. H_e also said that he is taking up the matter with Pakistan. When we asked at what level the matter was being taken up, he said that the High Commissioner of Pakistan in Delhi was summoned by the Foreign Office and he was asked to find out the culprits and hand them over to the Government of India. That is clearly what Mr. Dinesh Singh has siaid. What the hon. Minister of State says is totally confusing. What he says now is in total contradiction of what his senior has said about 7 or 10 days ago. So, I would like to know from the ton. Minister whether he actually knows the matter and is aware of the fact that Mr. Dinesh Singh made a statement in this, House and said that the Memon family has fled to Pakistan on 17th March and the High Commissioner was summoned and told about this etc. I would like to know[1] whether he is aware of all this and i* he is aware of all this then he should make a statement. Otherwise, if he makes a coniusing statement, then it will send a wrong s^udl to the whole world. His senior Minister made some statement and what he says now is a different timing which contradicts what his senior Minister said.

SHRI VISHVJIT P. SINGH (Maharashtra) : Madam, a very serious situation has arisen but not in the manner suggested by my friends in the opposition. In fact, I would honestly request my friends in the opposition not to fish in the troubled waters and try to craate confusion where there is a total clarity... (Interruptions}...

SHRI INDER KUMAR GUJRAL: In whose mind?

SHRi VISHVJIT P. SINGH; Please allow me to finish. Otherwise, I will yield to you. Please allow m© to complete my thesis. I would be grateful to you. The fact is, there is total clarity as far as the Government is concerned. We have seen the Government has pursued this relentlessly and is very qareful about what is happening. Whatever you do now amounts to preventing the Government from going on its legitimate course of investigations or interfering in the legitimate course of investigations by raising extraneous issues. What is happening is the result of all, this... (Interruptions)... Mr. Bakht please, do not mind my saying this ... (Interruptions).. .It is quite clear that we must, at this juncture, when we are facing this grave threat, as a nation stand together and face this challenge rather than look for something to pull down saying that this is wrong or that is wrong. This is what is happening. Let me try to make a comparison between the so-called statement which has been pub-j lished in some newspapers... *(Inter-*I ruptions) .. .The Chief Minister of Maharashtra did not make a statement 1 in Parliament... (Interruptions)...

SHRI SUKKOMAL SEN (West Beng]al): Mr. Dinesh Singh made a statement... (Interruptions)...

SHRI VISHVJIT P. SINGH; Yes, he made a statement... *(Interruptions)* ...

SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM: Madam, Mr. Dinesh Singh made a statement and the Home Minister made a statement in Parliament. There is absolutely noconfusion as far as the Opposition is concerned. The confusion is created by the Minister himself.,. *(Interruptions)...*

SHRI VISHVJIT P. SINGH: Madam, I seek your protection.. .(Interruption*:) ...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Padmanabham, if you want to speak, you can speak. I will permit you to Speak.

विपक्ष के नेता (श्री सिकन्दर बख्त) : सदर साहिबा, कुछ बात कहने की नहीं है, मैं कोई तकरीर नहीं कर रहा हूं, सिर्फ यह कह रहा हूं कि गुजराल साहब का बहुत सीधा-सा सवाल था कि शार्क कन्वेंशन को, जिसके हम खुद भी एक हिस्सा हैं उनकी कंवेंशन . . . (व्यवधान)।

†[] Transliteration in Arabic Script.

श्री विश्वजित पृथ्वीजित सिंह : इसका जवाब तो मिनिस्टर देंगे।

श्री सिकन्दर बख्त : उसमें बहस का सवाल कहां आता है। सीधा-सा जवाब आना चाहिए कि हमने शार्क कन्वेंशन को एप्रोच किया है या नहीं।

श्री विश्वजित पृथ्वीजित सिंह : इसका जवाब तो मंत्री जी देंगे, मैं कोई मंत्री थोड़ा ही हूं।

श्री सिकन्दर बख्त : मैं आपसे नहीं कह रहा हूं, यह तो डिबेट की बात

श्री विश्वजित पृथ्वीजित सिंह: आप मुझे सवाल तो पूछने दीजिये।

श्री सिकन्दर बख्त : कोई इसके मुखालिफ है, यह सवाल कहां पैदा होता है।

This is cruel... (Interruptions) .., This is not right.

श्री विश्वजित पृथ्वीजित सिंह : आपके शब्दों से पैदा हुआ है।

श्री सिकन्दर बख्त : जी नहीं, बिलकुल गलत बात है।

† Transliteration in Arabic Script.

श्री विश्वजित पृथ्वीजित सिंह : आज सुबह हम पाकिस्तान के बारे में मामला रेज करना चाहते थे, आपने हमको रोका। मुझे सब याद है। सुबह की बात को सब भूलिये। हमने दफियों बार कहा कि हमें पाकिस्तान की बात करने दीजिये, लेकिन आपने हमें नहीं करने दी। मिकादर वक्त साहब, आपने नहीं करने दी। आप लोग पाकिस्तान हाई कमीशन में जाकर चाय पीते हैं और हमको यहां बातें सुनाते हैं। छोड़िए इन बातों को।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Vishvjit Singh, you continue.

SHRI VISHVJIT P. SINGH: Madam, a very serious situation has arisen because the record of the Government of Pakistan has not been clean. The hijackers of a plane of the Indian Government are still at large in Pakistan. They are treated as State guests! In fact, one of them, even from the limited kind of custody that they were kept in has been released. So, my first question to the honourable Minister is whether he reactivated anything to know about those hijackers. Since Pakistan has made a statement about its sincerity towards India and towards the international convention, what has the Minister done about those hijackers to day, especially about the one hijacker who has been freed?

Secondly, this statement from Islamabad has added a new dimension to the whole thing. It has been said that the Memon family was booked by a PIA flight from Dubai to Karachi and from Karachi to Bombay and that they took off from Bombay, but did not land at Karachi. This is a covert operation which had been well thought out by them. They have made the Memon family disappear in Karachi, they have taken them away without any record and they are now trying to implicate the Indian Government in this.... (*Interruptions*)... Mr. Bakin, you are laughing at this? I am sorry to say that you find this a laughing matter... (*Interruptions*)... Madam, the Leader of the Opposition is finding this funny which is too much for me to bear. If this is what he finds funny, then I really do not understaand it at all... (*Interruptions*)... The nations is at stake, wake up. (*Interruptions*) I am awake. I want you to wake up.

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Madam, Vishvjitji is in 'vishwarup' now.

SHRI VISHVJIT P. SINGH: I am not in 'vishwarup'. I am very much upset. I have been very much upset since this morning. I have been sitting in this House, and I have been hearing everybody. And I am amazed that this House is not seized of this matter. If this SAARC Bill had not come, none of them would have been willing to discuss this here. Fortunately, this Bill has come. I would like to know from the hon. Minister as to what steps they are contemplating to expose this game of the Pakistan Government to the international

[Sihri Vishvjit P. Singh]

community. I raised the issue when .hon. Dinesh Singhji made a statement. Then *I* had said chat we must take steps immediately to get Pakistan declared a terrorist State. Winch Gauntries have you contacted? Have we got into the act immediately or not, especially in the light of what they are now claiming? And what information, if any, has been made available by the Interpol and other agencies to us? I would like to know that from you today. This is a very important matter. This is a matter which has assumed a new dimension. And this dimension has to be- nipped in the bud. This is something on which, I hope, I have the support of the entire House.

SHRI INDER KUMAR GUJRAL: Yes. Give me a chance to say.

SHRI VISHVJIT P. SINGH: I will, if you (allow me to sit down after I finish what I have to say. I am going to finish. Do I have your permission to speak another sentence?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You don't have to have his permission. You should have my permission.

SHRI VISHVIT P. SINGH: Madam, he is my elder and my better. And I always defer to my elders. I have a certain sensibility which, unfortunately, seems to be alien to this[1] at- mosphere which is prevailing today. But I am an old-fashiend person. I will defer to what you have said.

SHRI INDER KUMAR GUJRAL (Bihar): Madam, I will take only one minute. Of course, I must clarify one point. Mr. Vishvjit, if he has any doubt in his mind, must know that this House, not only this House, but the entire country is concerned worried. And whatever steps the Government takes, we will completely back it up. This is one point because this is not an issue of a party nor is it an issue oil' Government, it is an issue of the nation, and the nation is being des tabilised by terrorist ativity encour aged by a particular country. On this, I think, there are no two opin ons. I had only asked a *very* simple question from the hon. Minister. And that was, when this Convention was signed, it had a purpose. It was not only a platitudinous statement. . It was a Convention which has been ratified by all the countries, including us. Once you ratify a conven tion, then there are certain proce dures to be followed. And it is our misfortunate that soon after the rati fication, we are the victims of terro rism. And I had asked the question: Since we are the victims, have you taken any steps to inform or to bring to the notice of those countries who have ratified this Convention that this has happened and what we should do about it? That is one point. Secondly, I have also pointed out that to my utter surprise, I find some sort of a discrepancy in the state ments. I think, the senior Minister of Foreign Affairs, while speaking to the foreign correspondents, made the position very clear. And we accept it. Whatever statements have been made in the House, we accept them. Whatever the Chief Minister of Maha rashtra has said in Bombay, we ac cept it. I am asking a simple ques tion: Now, is there a slight shift or not? If there is none, I am very happy. If there is, kindly let us know.

मौलाना अबैदुल्ला खान आजमी (उत्तर प्रदेश) : मैडम, सार्क काम्फेस, सार्क मुल्कों की इसलिये तय हुई थी कि इन तमाम मुल्कों में आपसी इत्तिहाद हो और एक दूसरे के मफादात के खिलाफ कोई भी इन मुल्कों के मेंबर, अगर काम कर रहे हों तो उस पर रोक लगायी जा सके। पाकिस्तान के सिलसिले में और मोमिन ब्रदरान के सिलसिले में अभी यह सवालात उठे हैं। साथ ही साथ मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि मुल्क के अंदर हुकूमत की पालिसी से हमारे

चाहे कितने ही इख्तलाफात हों और उसके लिये पंजा आजमाई करते हों, मगर जब मुल्क की इज्जत का सवाल दुनिया और दूसरे मुल्कों के सामने आता है तो हम अपोजीशन और हुकूमत वाली पार्टी से ऊपर उठकर मुल्क के मफाद के लिये सोचते हैं। 5-00P.M. कोई भी वजीरे-आजम हो जब वह बैरूनी मुल्क में जाता है तो वह हिन्दुस्तान और हिन्दुस्तान की अजीम कौम का नुमाइंदा होता है। उसकी इज्जत कौम की इज्जत होती है और उसकी बेइज्जती हिन्दुस्तान की कौम की तोहीन होती है। अखबारों में कुछ ऐसी खबरें पढ़ने को मिली हैं जिनसे पता चलता है कि बंगलादेश के कुछ नासमझ लोग बंगलादेश में ढाका हवाई अड्डे पर सार्क कान्फ्रेंस में हमारे हिन्दुस्तानी प्राइम मिनिस्टर को उतरने नहीं देंगे और उनका घेराव करेंगे। इससे पहले बाबरी मस्जिद की शहादत के बाद पूरे मुल्क में जो तनाव का माहौल पैदा हुआ था उसी जमाने में बंगलादेश में यह सार्क कान्फ्रेंस होने वाली थी। बंगलादेश के लोगों ने हमारे प्राइम मिनिस्टर को यह धमकी दी थी कि हम बंगलादेश में उनको नहीं आने देंगे। शायद उनकी धमकी कारगर थी जिसकी बुनियाद पर हमारी हकूमत ने ऐतियाती कदम उठाते हुए प्राइम मिनिस्टर के प्रोग्राम को कैंसिल किया। अब जबकि फिर सार्क कान्फ्रेंस होने जा रही है और फिर यह मामला सामने आ गया है कि यह लोग इस तरह की हरकत कर के हमारे प्राइम मिनिस्टर को आने नहीं दे रहे हैं। कभी ऐसा होता है कि कोई हरकत करता है हवाई जहाज उड़ा ले जाता है और कोई दूसरी हरकत करता है कि हिन्दुस्तानी प्राइम मिनिस्टर के हवाई जहाज को सार्क कान्फ्रेंस में अपने मुल्क में उतरने नहीं देता है। मैं आपके जरिये से यह कहना चाहूंगा कि हिन्दुस्तानी वजीरेआजम का ढाका में जो लोग घेराव करने वाले हैं, यह बात दो लिहाज से खराब है। नम्बर एक यह कि हम इस बात को कतई पसंद नहीं करते कि हमारे मुल्क के अंदरूनी मामलात में कोई बैरूनी ताकत इंटरफियर करे। हिन्दुस्तानी मुसलमान इस बात के लिए काफी हैं कि वह अपने मसायल को खुद हल कर लें और अपनी हकूमत से अपने मुल्क के कानून और दस्तूर की रोशनी में हल करवाएं। किसी भी बाहरी ताकत की दखलअंदाजी सिर्फ हमारे मुल्क के लिए ही नहीं हिन्दुस्तानी मुसलमान भी उससे जबरदस्त तौहीन महसूस करते हैं। इन हरकतों से हिन्दुस्तान की उन हिन्दू फाशिस्ट ताकतों को फायदा पहुंचता है जो इसी तरह की हरकतों की तलाश में रहती हैं। फाशिस्ट ताकतों और बैरूनी ताकतों में मिली-जुली जो भगत है, उसका सबूत इस हाऊस के सामने मैं क्या रखूं। एक तरफ पाकिस्तान से हम मुतालबा कर रहे हैं कि मेमन बादरान को वापिस भेजो जैसे कि पता चला है कि तुम्हारे मुल्क में हैं दूसरी तरफ पाकिस्तानी इम्बेसी में हमारे मुल्क में जो लोग मुतालबा इस तरह का कर रहे हैं खुफियत से मैंने पिछले दिनों पढ़ा था कि बी० जे० पी० के प्रेजीडेंट के यहां इफ्तार पार्टी में दावत, खाने-पीने, मुर्गा पुलाव उड़ाने के लिए वो आए और पाकिस्तान के कयाम के सिलसिले में जो जश्न मनाया गया था उस जश्न में मुरली मनोहर जोशी साहब गये। यह दावत और मुर्गे उड़ाते रहे। तो सवाल यह पैदा होता है कि एक एक तरफ हम पाकिस्तान से यह कहते हैं कि (व्यवधान) यह तो बड़ी साफ बात है, मुल्क के मसायल की बात है। मैं जो बात कह रहा हूं, वह छुपी है। मैं हरगिज वह बात नहीं कहना चाहता जो छिपी है। छिपा हुआ क्या क्या है, यह इनको मालूम होगा, उनको मालूम होगा।

अगर मेरा कहने का मतलब यह है कि मैं वजीरे खारिजा साहब से कहूंगा कि इस बारे में बारीकी को व समझें और वजीरे आजम के बंगलादेश जाने से पहले हकूमते बंगलादेश से इस बात का क्या आप पूरा इत्मीनान हासिल करेंगे कि हमारे मुल्क के प्राइम मिनिस्टर जिस वक्त बंगलादेश में उतरेंगे उस वक्त उनके हवाई जहाज का घेराव नहीं होगा, इसके खिलाफ काले झंडे नहीं चलेंगे, उनको बाधित नहीं आना होगा । इसलिए कि यह सिर्फ हिन्दुस्तान का मामला नहीं है, हमारे मुल्क की अजमत से जुड़ा हुआ मसला है। मैं जानना चाहता हूं और मुझे इसका जवाब दे कर न सिर्फ यह कि मुझे मुतमईन करें बल्कि मुल्क के तमाम वफादार शहरियों को मुतमईन करें। यह मुल्क के ऊपर एक अजीम चुनौती और बड़ा नाजुक वक्त आया है इसको हम सियासी मसलहतों पर कुर्बान न करें बल्कि अपनी जाती खुद-गरजियों को कुर्बान करते हुए मुल्क और कौम के तफ्फुज के सिलसिले में हर तरह की कुर्बानी जातीयात और जमात से ऊपर उठ कर दें। यह हमारा एक हिन्दुस्तानी होने के नाते कर्तव्य होना चाहिये। शुक्रिया।

چاہے کتنے ہی اختلافات ہوں اور اس کے لیے ہنجو آزمائی کرتے ہوں۔ مگر جب ملک کی عزت کا سوال دنیا اور دوسرے ملکوں کے سامنے آتا ہے تو ہم اپوزیشن اور حکومت والی پارٹی سب اوپر اٹھ کر ملک کے مفادات کے لیے سوچتے ہیں۔ کوئی بھی وزیر اعظم جب وہ بیرون ملک میں جاتا ہے تو وہ ہندوستان اور ہندوستان کی عظیم قوم کا نمائندہ ہوتا ہے۔ اس کی عزت قوم کی عزت ہوتی ہے اور اس کی بے عزتی ہندوستان کی قوم کی توہین ہوتی ہے۔ اخباروں میں کچھ ایسی خبریں پڑھنے کو ملی ہیں جن سے پتہ چلتا ہے کہ بنگلہ دیش کے کچھ ناسمجھ لوگ بنگلہ دیش میں ڈھاکہ ہوائی اڈے پر سارک کانفرنس میں ہمارے ہندوستانی پرائم منسٹر کو اترنے نہیں دینگے اور ان کا گھیراؤ کریں گے۔ اس سے پہلے بابری مسجد کی شہادت کے بعد پورے ملک میں جو تناؤ کا ماحول پیدا ہوا تھا اسی زمانے میں بنگلہ دیش میں یہ سارک کانفرنس ہونے والی تھی۔ بنگلہ دیش کے لوگوں نے ہمارے پرائم منسٹر کو یہ دھمکی دی تھی کہ ہم بنگلہ دیش میں ان کو نہیں آنے دینگے۔ شاید ان کی دھمکی کارگر تھی جس کی بنیاد پر ہماری حکومت نے احتیاطی قدم اٹھاتے ہوئے پرائم منسٹر کے پروگرام کو کینسل کیا۔ اب جبکہ پھر سارک کانفرنس ہونے جارہی ہے اور پھر یہ مسئلہ سامنے آگیا ہے کہ وہ لوگ اسطرح

مولانا عبید اللہ خاں اعظمی (اعظم گڑھ): سیدھم سارک کانفرنس۔ سارک ملکوں کی اس لیے بنائی گئی تھی کہ ان تمام ملکوں میں ایسی اتحاد ہو اور ایک دوسرے کے مفادات کے خلاف کوئی بھی ان ملکوں کے ممبر۔ اگر کام کر رہے ہیں تو اس پر روک لگائی جاسکے۔ پاکستان کے سلسلے میں اور مومن برادران کے سلسلے میں ابھی یہ سوالات اٹھے ہیں۔ ساتھ ہی ساتھ میں یہ کہنا چاہتا ہوں کہ ملک کے اندر حکومت کی پالیسی سے ہمارے

ایمبیسی میں ہمارے ملک میں جو لوگ مطالبہ اس طرح کا کر رہے ہیں خصوصیت سے میں نے پچھلے دنوں پڑھا تھا کہ بی۔ جے۔ پی کے پریزیڈنٹ کے یہاں اوٹار پارٹی میں دعوت۔ کھانے پینے۔ مرغ پلاؤ اڑانے کیلئے وہ آئے اور پاکستان کے قیام کے سلسلے میں جو جشن منایا گیا تھا اس جشن میں مُرلی منوہر جوشی صاحب گئے۔ یہ دعوت اور مرغ اڑاتے رہے تو سوال یہ پیدا ہوتا ہے کہ ایک طرف ہم پاکستان سے یہ کہتے ہیں کہ ... مداخلت یہ تو بڑی صاف بات ہے۔ ملک کے مسائل کی بات ہے میں جو بات کہہ رہا ہوں وہ جیسی ہے میں ہرگز وہ بات نہیں کہنا چاہتا جو جیسی ہے جیسا ہوا کیا کیا ہے یہ ان کو معلوم ہوگا۔ ان کو معلوم ہوگا مگر میرے کہنے کا مطلب یہ ہے کہ میں فلاں غیر خارجہ صاحب سے کہوں گا کہ اس باریکی کو وہ سمجھیں اور وزیر اعظم کے بنگلہ دیش جانے سے پہلے حکومت بنگلہ دیش سے اس بات کا کیا آپ پورا اطمینان حاصل کریں گے؟ پہلے ملک کے پرائم منسٹر جس وقت بنگلہ دیش میں اتریں گے اس وقت ان کے ہوائی جہاز کا گیئر نہیں ہوگا۔ ان کے خلاف کارے جھنڈے لے کر چلیں گے۔ ان کو واپس نہیں آنا ہوگا۔ اس لئے کہ یہ صرف ہندوستان کا معاملہ نہیں ہے ہمارے ملک کی عظمت سے جڑا ہوا مسئلہ ہے۔ میں کی حرکت کر کے ہمارے پرائم منسٹر کو آنے نہیں دیں گے۔ کبھی ایسا ہوتا ہے کہ کوئی حرکت کرتا ہے ہوائی جہاز اڑا لے جاتا ہے اور کوئی دوسری حرکت کرتا ہے کہ ہندوستانی پرائم منسٹر کے ہوائی جہاز کو سارک کانفرنس میں اپنے ملک میں اترنے نہیں دیتا ہے۔ میں آپ کے ذریعہ سے یہ بات کہنا چاہتا ہوں کہ ہندوستانی وزیر اعظم کا ڈھاکہ میں جو لوگ گھیراؤ کرنے والے ہیں یہ بات دو لحاظ سے خراب ہے نمبر ۱ یہ کہ ہم اس بات کو قطعی پسند نہیں کرتے کہ ہمارے ملک کے اندرونی معاملات میں کوئی بیرونی طاقت انٹرفیر کرے۔ ہندوستانی مسلمان اس بات کیلئے کافی ہے کہ وہ اپنے مسائل کو خود حل کر لیں اور اپنی حکومت سے اپنی ملک کے قانون اور دستور کی روشنی میں حل کروائیں۔ کسی بھی باہری طاقت کی دخل اندازی صرف ہمارے ملک کے لیے ہی نہیں ہندوستانی مسلمان بھی اس سے زبردست توہین محسوس کرتے ہیں ان حرکتوں سے ہندوستان کی ان فاشسٹ طاقتوں کو فائدہ پہنچتا ہے جو اسی طرح کی حرکتوں کی تلاش میں رہتی ہیں فاشسٹ طاقتوں اور بیرونی طاقتوں میں ملی جلی جو بھگت ہے اس کا ثبوت اس ہاؤس کے سامنے کیا رکھوں ایک طرف پاکستان سے ہم مطالبہ کر رہے ہیں کہ ہمارے ہندو برادران کو واپس بھیجو جیسا کہ پتہ چلا ہے کہ تمہارے ملک میں ہیں۔ دوسری طرف پاکستانی



SHRI JOHN F. FERNANDES (Goa): Hon. Minister has rightly exposed this. Convention, it appears that this-'piece of document of the Convention is only ornamental* it is a showpiece. The hon. Minister did" mention that this Convention does not -include any clause for extradition. May *I* know from the hon. Minister in view of the latest position where the Memon fugitives have been harboured by Pakistan Government, whether the Government of India will move the forthcoming SAARC Summit to see that a clause is included in this Convention whereby the element of extradition is included. Further, may I know from the hon. Minister whether they have raised this in the international fora sue as the United Nations, the Commonwealth and the Non-aligned Movement, to bring pressure on Pakistan to see that the fugitive are handed over to India?

SHRI R. L. BHATIA: Madam, first of all, let me make it cear. I want to correct the impression which Mr. Gujral got but of my statement I stand by what Mr. Chavan had said and what the Foreign Minister, Mr. Dinesh Singh had said. There is no variance at all. Therefore, no inference has arisen out of the discussion here that my statement is at variance.

The second point which Mr. Gujral had raised was — he was emphasising it again and again — *whe*-ther we have been able to Convey to our SAARK friends who have sign-ed this Convention. I say 'Yes'. We have informed.

In regard to the point made by Mr. Vishvjit Singh as to whether we have informed the other Governments also, yes; we have informed all our friends in the world in regard to what had happened.

Another question was about hijacking I would like to point out that there is no extradition treaty between India and Pakistan. "We are demanding the return of the hijackers, but they say that in accordance with their own laws, they will deal with the hijackers and that they are prosecuting them As far as the point made by Mr. Azmi is concerned, about our Prime Minister going to Bangladesh, we have talked to the Bangladesh Government in regard to the arrangements there. I can assure you that the Bangladesh Government has informed us that they will take all necessary steps.

Madam, I move:

That the amendments made by , the Lok Sabha in the Bill be agreed to."

The *question was put and the motion was adopted*

RESOLUTION RE. RECOMMENDATIONS OF RAILWAY CONVENTION COMMITTEE

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI K. C. LENKA): Madam, I beg to move. the following Resqlution: - -•